Vertical ridge augmentation in posterior mandible - Case report
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**ABSTRACT**

Purpose: This clinical case describes a successful use of a non-resorbable membrane and mixture of autogenous particulated bone with anorganic bovine bone-derived mineral (ABBM) in a severe posterior mandible alveolar defect.

Case report: A combined vertical and horizontal alveolar ridge augmentation was successfully achieved. Detailed clinical steps were described and demonstrated. The patient was rehabilitated with implant supported fixed partial denture with no pink ceramic. This two-staged procedure provides the amount of horizontal ridge width and vertical height to successfully place in the correct position the implants and achieve long term results.

Conclusions: Multicenter, randomised clinical trials are necessary to compare this procedure with other potential clinical solutions.
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INTRODUCTION
Bone augmentation procedures are routinely applied in cases of alveolar ridge deficiency in order to achieve optimal bone support for osseointegrated dental implants and ensure successful and long-term outcomes in dental implant therapy. Guided Bone Regeneration (GBR) was initially used to treat simple defects, including dehiscence and fenestration defects. The application of GBR for horizontal and vertical ridge augmentations is well documented with high implant survival rates and low complication rates. Long term results concluded that vertically augmented bone using GBR techniques responds to implant placement in a similar fashion to native bone. The results of clinical and histologic studies of ridge augmentation with GBR indicated that anorganic bovine bone-derived mineral (ABBM) mixed with autogenous particulate bone may be suitable material for staged localized ridge augmentation in both horizontal and vertical dimensions.

This clinical report describes and demonstrates the successful use of autogenous particulate bone, anorganic bone mineral and barrier membranes to reconstruct severe alveolar bone defect.

CASE PRESENTATION
A healthy, non-smoker, 58-year-old male patient presented for an evaluation of his posterior left mandible, which had a history of implant placement with recurrent peri-implant infections. Significant clinical findings included several fistulous tracts (Figure 1) and a periodontal depth of 10 mm around the implants (Figure 2). Radiographic examination was performed and revealed advanced periimplantitis with associated vertical bone loss (Figures 3, 4, 5).
Second left mandibular molar extraction as well as implants explantation was performed because the prognosis was poor (Figure 6, 7).
Figure 4. 3D reconstruction of the severe maxillary and mandibular defects.

Figure 5. CBCT-Coronial section. Mandibular left posterior region. C&H VI. Vertical defect over 9mm.

Figure 6. Atraumatic implants explantation.

Figure 7. Molar extraction.
Following a 2-months healing period, a severe vertical ridge defect (9 mm height) was noted as expected (Figures 8, 9). The vertical defect significantly compromised the site to implant placement. The patient desired a fixed rehabilitation; therefore and after periodontal disease was controlled, the clinical plan was regenerate the alveolar defect tridimensional in order to ideally reconstruct form and function with a favourable implant-crown ratio and hygiene maintenance of the fixed prosthetic restoration.

Figure 8. Panoramic x-ray after 2 months healing

Figure 9. 9mm vertical defect after 2 months post-extract second molar and implants explantation
SURGICAL PROCEDURE

The patient was premedicated with amoxicillin 2 gr 1 hour before surgery and was given 1 gr penicillin 2 times a day for 1 week following surgery. The patient rinsed with 0.12% chlorhexidine solution (Eludril, Pierre Fabre Oral Care, France) for 1 minute prior to surgery and the tongue was scrapped (Figure 10). The patient's skin surrounding the surgical site was disinfected, and a sterile surgical drape was applied to minimize potential contamination from extraoral sources (Figure 11).

A full thickness, midcrestal incision was made in the keratinised gingiva on the alveolar crest, within 2 mm of the retromolar pad and for adequate surgical access, a distal oblique vertical incision toward coronoid mandibular process (Figure 12). A vertical incision was made mesiobuccally two teeth away and a 3-4 mm mini incision is placed at the mesio-lingual line angle of the most distal tooth. Retromolar pad is gently elevated, lifted and incorporates lingual flap. After primary incisions were made, periosteal elevators were used to reflect a full thickness flap beyond the mucogingival junction and at 5 mm beyond the bone defect (Figure 13). The lingual flap was elevated to the mylohyoid line (high mylohyoid line) (Figure 14) and carefully separated from the ascending fibres of mylohyoid muscle (Figure 15).
Figure 13. Exposed bone cleaned of all soft tissue remnants.

Figure 14. Elevation of lingual flap to the mylohyoid line.

Figure 15. Mylohyoid blunt separation.
The exposed bone was cleaned of all soft tissue remnants; an appropriate sized, titanium-reinforced dense polytetrafluoroethylene non-resorbable membrane (d-PTFE; Cytoplast® Ti-250, Osteogenics Biomedical, Inc., Lubbock, Texas) was selected and trimmed to totally cover the graft volume. With a small bur, the recipient bony bed was prepared with multiple decorticate holes to expose the medullary space (Figures 16, 17). Autogenous bone was harvested from the left mandibular ascending ramus with Safescrapper twist curved® (Figure 18). The autogenous bone was mixed with ABBM (Bio-Oss®, Geistlich Pharma AG, Wolhusen, Switzerland) (Figure 19).

The membrane was fixated first on the lingual side using multiple pins (Master Pin control fixation®, Meisinger, Neuss, Germany) (Figure 20). The autogenous particulate composite graft was placed apportionally in the vertical alveolar defect and the membrane was folded over onto the buccal alveolus and fixated with additional titanium pins (Figure 21). The medial border was placed 3 mm from the distal surface of first left premolar to prevent membrane exposure. A resorbable collagen membrane (Biogide®, Geistlich Pharma AG, Wolhusen, Switzerland) was applied to protect and contain apically the graft and minimize postoperative complications (Figure 21).
The lingual flap advancement at the “deep” mylohyoid attachment was made with an a horizontal “hockey stick” periosteal incision with the blade tip sweeping through periosteum (Figure 22). Advancement of all three zones of the lingual flap allows a completely relaxed lingual flap (Figure 23, 24).

Periosteal releasing incisions at buccal flap were performed to provide adequate flap reflection for tension free primary closure (Figure 25, 26).

The flap was closed in two layers with the use of horizontal mattress (Cytoplast PTFE suture®, Osteogenics, 3-0) and single interrupted sutures (Supramid®, B Braun Medical, 4-0) (Figures 27, 28). Medications were followed as described earlier. In addition, an anti-inflammatory medication, 600mg ibuprofen arginate was prescribed two times a day for five days. For chemical plaque control, 0.12% chlorhexidine solution was used three times a day from 24 hours post-surgery until the time of suture removal. Postoperative swelling was significant, reaching maximum at 48 hours; swelling disappeared completely after twelve days. Discomfort was referred and was associated with tension from the swelling. Pain was minimal. No other symptoms occurred during post-surgical period. No removable appliance was used to avoid trauma.

After nine months of uneventful healing, it was performed a...
radiographic examination (Cone Beam Computed Tomography - CBCT) which revealed a vertical bone gain of 9.31 mm and 11.1 mm in premolar and molar left mandibular regions (Figure 29). The area was opened using the same full-thickness flap design. The membrane had maintained its original position, and bone growth was evident (Figure 30). After removal of the titanium pins and the d-PTFE, complete vertical bone regeneration was observed (Figures 31,32,33). Two BTI Implants were placed (BTI®-Biotechnology Institute S.L., Vitoria, Spain) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol.

Figure 29. BTI Scan®- coronal section. Mandibular left posterior region

Figure 30. No soft tissue ingrowth under the adapted membrane

Figure 31. Occlusal view of d-PTFE-TR membrane removal and revelation of baby bone

Figure 32. Complete vertical bone regeneration

Figure 33. Initial clinical situation and after nine months
and with surgical guidance (Figure 34). After implant placement, a protective microsausage with 70% ABBM and 30% autogenous bone was placed on top of implants over the newly formed crestal bone to protect the graft from possible early remodelling (Figures 35A, 35B, 35C). The implants were submerged through a 2-stage technique for 6 months. After 3.5 months a soft tissue augmentation was done (free gingival graft-strip technique) to gain soft tissue volume and keratinised tissue (Figure 36). After 2.5 more months the implants were uncovered (Figure 37) and restored (Figure 38C) with a splinted three-unit implant supported fixed partial denture with no pink ceramic (Figure 38 A, B). The patient was entered into a scheduled maintenance program that included a clinical examination every six months and annual radiographic examination.
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION
Vertical ridge augmentation (VRA) is one of the greatest challenges for bone in implant therapy; it is biologically demanding, as angiogenesis must reach a certain distance from existing bone to new bone can be formed.\(^1\),\(^2\) On the other hand, VRA is technique sensitive and complications intra and post-operative can occur.\(^3\),\(^4\) However, in cases with limited bone availability for placing short implants, or due to restorative considerations, VRA offers the possibility to augment lost bony structures and improves aesthetic outcomes.\(^5\)

Long term studies concluded that vertically augmented bone using GBR techniques responds to implant placement in a similar fashion to native bone; is safe and predictable, with minimal complications.\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^8\),\(^9\),\(^10\)

The case presented describes a detailed protocol for a patient treated with vertical ridge augmentation in the posterior mandible with a severe defect of alveolar ridge and demonstrates how is possible to reach predictable significant vertical bone regeneration (9mm and 11mm in premolar and molar region, respectively). Autogenous particulate bone and ABBM were used for VRA with a dense-PTFE titanium reinforced non-resorbable membrane; implants were placed 9 months after uneventful healing. This two-staged procedure provides the amount of horizontal ridge width and vertical height to successfully place in the correct position the implants and achieve long term results. Continued follow-up will be mandatory to investigate the stability of the newly regenerated bone around the implants over time. Multicenter, randomised clinical trials are necessary to compare this procedure with other potential clinical solutions.
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